



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,375	09/15/2000	Carl-Martin Bell	65-99	2748
23713 GREENI E	23713 7590 12/19/2002 GREENLEE WINNER AND SULLIVAN P C			INER
5370 MANHATTAN CIRCLE SUITE 201			LUKTON, DAVID	
BOULDER,	CO 80303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653 DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/677,375

Applicant(s)

Bell

Examiner

David Lukton

Art Unit **1653**

	IAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	07.717007.000.000.000			
THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
 Extensions of time r mailing date of this 		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
 If the period for repl If NO period for repl Failure to reply with Any reply received to 	ly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t			
Status	,			
1) 💢 Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>Oct 7, 20</u>			
2a) This acti	ion is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act			
	is application is in condition for allowance of accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Cla	aims			
4) X Claim(s)	1-26	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the	above, claim(s) <u>20-26</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s)	100	is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s)		is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 💢 Claims <u>1</u>	-19	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Pape				
9) 🗆 The spec	cification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The draw	wing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicar	nt may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
		is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If appro	ved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.		
12) The oath	n or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🗌 All b) 🛚	☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
1. 🗌 Cer	tified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
2. 🗌 Cer	tified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	application from the International Burea			
_	ached detailed Office action for a list of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	edgement is made of a claim for domestic			
_	anslation of the foreign language provisiona			
	edgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	near Cited (DTO 902)			
Notice of Referer Notice of Drefter	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	Derson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Insure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		
	The state of the s	o, outer		

Applicants' election of Group I with traverse is acknowledged. Responsibility for examination of this application has been transferred from Leslie Deak to the undersigned. Accordingly, the restriction is revised, as set forth below.

*

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 (the groups begin with number 4, to avoid any conflict with the earlier numbering system):

- 4. Claims 1-5, drawn to a mixture of peptides in which branched peptides are excluded.
- 5. Claims 1-5, drawn to a mixture of peptides in which at least one branched peptide must be present
- 6. Claims 6-13, drawn to an adsorbent in which the "ligand" excludes branched peptides.
- 7. Claims 6-13, drawn to an adsorbent in which the "ligand" must include at least one branched peptide
- 8. Claims 14-19, drawn to a device which contains the absorbent of Group 6
- 9. Claims 14-19, drawn to a device which contains the absorbent of Group 7.

The claimed inventions are distinct.

Inventions {1, 2} and {3, 4} are related as mutually exclusive species in intermediate-final

product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP section 806.04(b), 3rd Group 4 is paragraph), and the species are patentable distict (MPEP section 806.04(h)). Claim 1 could be interpreted to mean that drawn simply to a mixture of linear peptides. the peptide itself must have an isoelectric point above 7.2, or else that the peptide must simply contain at least one amino acid which is His, Lys, Arg or Tyr. Certainly, according to the latter interpretation claim 1 is not novel; for example, hydrolyzed collagen would Group 5 requires the presence of branched probably meet the limitations of claim 1. Branched polylysine has been known for more than 20 years (see, e.g., peptides. In addition, if one were to prepare an Denkewalter, U.S. Patent 4,289,872). immunoconjugate between a peptide and an antibody, the result would be a mixture of Furthermore, immunologists branched peptides having non-identical molecular weight. Thus, there are use polylysine, branched or unbranched, for raising antibodies to haptens. probably novel embodiments within Groups 4 and 5, but claim 1 in its present form does In the event that applicants were to elect not "define a contribution" over the prior art. Group 4 or 5, and claims therein found allowable, the possibility of joining either Group 6 or 7 would be considered, subject to the inclusion of whatever limitations may have been In the event that Group 4 or 5 claims proved to introduced into the Group 4 or 5 claims. be allowable, novelty would not necessarily accrue to the corresponding Group 6 or 7 claims,

but it might; in any case, if Group 4 or 5 is determined to be allowable, it would be appropriate to revisit the issue of restriction between Groups 4-5 (on the one hand), and Groups 6-7 (on the other hand). As for Groups 8 and 9, novelty would almost certainly accrue to one of these groups in the event that Group 6 or 7 proved to be allowable. Thus, in the event that Group 6 or 7 were elected, and claims therein found allowable, rejoining of Group 8 or 9 would become likely, provided that whatever limitations had been introduced into Group 6 or 7 were introduced into Group 8 or 9. As for claims 20-23 (designated by the previous examiner as Group II), in the event that Group 6 or 7 is elected, and claims therein found allowable, Group II will be rejoined therewith, provided that whatever limitations had been introduced into Group 6 or 7 were introduced into the Group II claims.

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

• Regardless of which group is selected, election of a specific amino acid is required, that is a specific amino acid (such as arginine) that must be present within one of the

peptides, which peptide, in turn, is present in the mixture.

• In the event that any of Groups 6-9 is elected, election of a specific solid phase support medium (e.g., Toyopearl HW70EC beads) is also required.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 703-308-3213. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached at (703) 308-2923. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

DAVID LUKTON
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800

De Lykelan